administrative offices of the Portland International Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on June 9, 1997.

David A. Field,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 97–15859 Filed 6–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-367 (Sub-No. 2X)]

Georgia Central Railway, L.P.— Abandonment Exemption—in Chatham County, GA

On May 28, 1997, Georgia Central Railway, L.P. (Georgia Central) filed with the Surface Transportation Board a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon a portion of its line of railroad extending from Value Station 42+33 where it switches off the Georgia Central main line to Value Station 37+72, a distance of 0.71 miles, in Savannah, Chatham County, GA. The line transverses through U.S. Postal Service Zip Code 31401.

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in Georgia Central's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it. The interest of railroad employees will be protected by the conditions set forth in Oregon Short Line R. Co.— Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by September 15, 1997.

Any offer of financial assistance under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by a \$900 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

Georgia Central states that the rightof-way underlying the line is not suitable for use for other public purposes and that, upon abandonment, it will revert to its owner, CSX Transportation, Inc. Nonetheless, we will entertain public use/trail use requests. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than July 7, 1997. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a \$150 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB–367 (Sub-No. 2X) and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423–0001; and (2) Kelvin J. Dowd and Andrew B. Kolesar III, Slover and Loftus, 1224 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 565–1592 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 565–1545. [TDD for the hearing impaired is available at (202) 565–1695.]

An environmental assessment (EA) (or environmental impact statement (EIS), if necessary) prepared by SEA will be served upon all parties of record and upon any agencies or other persons who commented during its preparation.

Other interested persons may contact SEA to obtain a copy of the EA or EIS.

EAs in these abandonment proceedings normally will be made available within 60 days of the filing of the petition. The deadline for submission of comments on the EA will generally be within 30 days of its service.

Decided: June 11, 1997.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–15830 Filed 6–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review; Comment request.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) hereby gives notice that it has sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review an information collection titled Investment Securities (12 CFR 1).

DATES: Comments regarding this information collection are welcome and should be submitted to the OMB Reviewer and the OCC. Comments are due on or before July 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the of the submission may be obtained by calling the OCC Contact listed. Direct all written comments to the Communications Division, Attention: 1557–0205, Third Floor, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20219. In addition, comments may be sent by facsimile transmission to (202) 874–5274, or by electronic mail to REGS.COMMENTS@OCC.TREAS.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Number: 1557–0205. Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Renewal of OMB approval.

Title: Investment Securities (12 CFR 1).

Description: This submission covers a renewal without change of the information collections currently contained in 12 CFR Part 1. The collection of information requirements are found in 12 CFR 1.3(h)(2) and 12 CFR 1.7(b).

Under 12 CFR 1.3(h)(2), a national bank may request an OCC determination that it may invest in an entity that is exempt from registration under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 if the portfolio of the entity consists exclusively of assets that a national bank may purchase and sell for its own account. The OCC uses the information contained in the request as a basis for determining that the bank's investment is consistent with its investment authority under applicable law and does not pose unacceptable risk.

Under 12 CFR 1.7(b), a national bank may request OCC approval to extend the five-year holding period of securities held in satisfaction of debts previously contracted (DPC) for up to an additional five years. The bank must provide a clearly convincing demonstration of why any additional holding period is needed. The OCC uses the information in the request to ensure, on a case-bycase basis, that the bank's purpose in retaining the securities is not speculative and that the bank's reasons for requesting the extension are adequate, and to evaluate the risks to the bank of extending the holding period, including potential effects on bank safety and soundness.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit; individuals.

Number of Respondents: 25.